

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/913,721	08/17/2001	Yoshio Hiraki	2114631US0PC	2653		
22850	7590 08/13/2003					
•	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER				
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			YU, GINA C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1617 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	16		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/913,721	HIRAKI ET AL.				
navisory nousin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gina C. Yu	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED July 8, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Certain terms and the feet of	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.			
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): claim rejection under 35	U.S.C. § 112, seco	nd par			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	e newly			
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	RUSSELL TRAV	/ERS			
		PRIMARY EXAM	INER			

Application/Control Number: 09/913,721

Art Unit: 1617

40 %

Continuation from No. 5:

Applicants state that the addition of secondary lipid as in Mathur would change the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention. Examiner notes that it is well settled in patent law that if an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. See In re De Lajarte, 337 F.2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964). See also MPEP § 2111.03. Examiner reiterates that there must be a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics are, and the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" will be construed as "comprising". See PPG Industries v. Guardian Industries, 156 F.3d 1351, 1355, 48 USPQ2d 1351, 1355 (Fed. Cir. 1998). In this case, examiner views that there is no clear indication either in the specification or claims that the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention is using only mono fatty acid monoglyceride as the only lipid in the lamellar composition. Besides the mono fatty acid monoglyceride, cholesterol is also used in every example formulations in the specification. See spec. Table 6. It is also noted that monostearyl or monopalmityl glyceryl ethers are used in the compositions therein. Claim 11 also recites that the composition 'further comprises' cholesterol. While applicants assert that cholesterol is a mere additive, applicants' own disclosure seem to indicate that cholesterol is a required element in the formation of lamellar structure. Thus examiner cannot agree that the basic and novel characteristic of the claimed invention is using

Application/Control Number: 09/913,721

Art Unit: 1617

only mono fatty acid glyceride and vitamin A to obtain a lamellar structure. Examiner thus takes the position that the limitation of the instant claim 1 should be construed as an open limitation to include lipids other than the recited mono fatty acid glyceride.

Examiner notes that the Mathur refers cholesterol as an "additive" and not a "secondary lipid", however, it must be noted that the obviousness rejection is maintained because the limitation of the instant claims are still construed as open limitation. The Mathur reference clearly teaches, and every single example therein illustrates, using cholesterol to form lamellar phases. See Mathur, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 14; Examples.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-3951.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

RUSSELL TRAVERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3